

Key Performance Indicators Area Ambulance Service		2014 FY	Jul 31	Aug 31	Sep 30	Oct 31	Nov 30	Dec 31	Jan 31	Feb 28	Mar 31	April 30	May 31	June 30	2014 - 2015 YTD
--	--	------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-------------	-----------	------------	--------------------

Calls for Service	Total	Calls for Service	18,384	1,590	1,606	1,666	1,578	1,414	1,629	1,547	1,382	1,551			13,963 Calls	
		Per Day	50.4	51.3	51.8	55.5	50.9	47.1	52.5	49.9	49.4	50.0				51.0 Daily
	Patient Calls	TRANSPORTS	Emergency P1 / P2	11,291	933	995	1042	974	880	1,005	967	858	951			8,605 P1 / P2
			Scheduled P3 / P4	668	59	45	47	53	50	69	59	42	65			489 P3 / P4
			Inter-facility P6	204	39	31	10	20	5	7	18	7	4			141 P6
			Out of Town P5 / 15	1,240	102	100	121	116	109	141	122	116	122			1,049 P5
			Behavioral Transfers P25	9	0	0	0	1	4	1	3	2	4			15 P25
			Buchanan Co. P51	38	1	1	5	4	4	3	5	0	3			26 BC
			Additional Patient Transport AP	97	7	12	6	7	12	11	6	6	5			72 Add Pt
			Total Patient Transports	13,547	1,141	1,184	1,231	1,175	1,064	1,237	1,180	1,031	1,154			10,397 Transports
Per Day			37.1	36.8	38.2	41.0	37.9	35.5	39.9	38.1	36.8	37.2			37.9 Daily	
OTHER			DAS	146	11	9	9	10	10	12	16	11	9			97 DAS
	ALS	144	11	11	10	9	7	12	12	6	11			89 ALS		
	Mutual Aid	16	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	4			8 MA		
Misc	Public Safety Standby	410	37	34	32	31	27	30	37	35	42			305 PS SB		
	Community Event Standby	282	30	36	32	20	16	14	17	10	15			190 CS SB		
	Service Car C11	151	9	6	14	13	6	4	6	10	12			80 C11		

Resp Time	Cedar Rapids	P1	96	95.1	96.6	95.3	95.1	94.4	94.4	95.8	96.4	97.3			96 P1 Avg
		P2	95	95.8	93.9	93.7	95.6	96.9	94.2	97.2	97.1	96.4			

Customer Feedback	Mail	Mailings sent	1,794	150	150	126	123	55	215	148	145	170			1,282 Total
		Mailings returned	668	56	59	51	38	19	30	53	44	37			
	Return %	37.2%	37.3%	39.3%	40.5%	30.9%	34.5%	14.0%	35.8%	30.3%	21.8%				30.2% Avg
	Satisfaction	Excellent	667	56	59	51	38	19	28	48	40	35			374 Total
			99.9%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	93.3%	90.6%	90.9%	94.6%			96.6% Avg
		Adequate	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	2	2			11 Total
			0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	10.4%	5.0%	5.7%			2.8% Avg
	Needs Improvement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0			2 Total	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%			0.5% Avg		

Community Partnering	CPR	Corporate Training	28	1	3	2	1	1	0	0	3	1			12 Total
		Community Training	427	25	28	16	48	43	15	19	42	45			281 Total
		Student Training	167	0	0	0	0	230	287	0	203	0			720 Total
	Donations	Sponsorships & Donations	\$2,792.00	\$250.00	\$0.00	\$1,000.00	\$500	\$800.00	\$920.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00			\$3,470.00 Total
		Standby Services (in kind)	\$87,239.29	\$8,817.39	\$8,771.65	\$12,372.20	\$8,115.53	\$4,606.60	\$5,908.74	\$5,278.07	\$4,209.38	\$5,270.70			\$63,350.26 Total
Financial Assistance	\$66,221.24	\$3,354.86	\$4,352.18	\$5,159.93	\$4,215.00	\$2,119.03	\$4,089.21	\$4,305.35	\$5,906.61					\$33,502.17 Total	

Special Events Summary March 2016

Fire Standbys	Date	Start	End	UH	Crew	Total Hrs	\$ / Hr.	Total \$
	3/1	1:47:44	2:53:09	1:05:25	2	2:10:50	\$122.37	\$133.42
	3/4	11:28:32	11:49:54	0:21:22	2	0:42:44	\$122.37	\$43.58
	3/4	13:55:08	14:28:20	0:33:12	1	0:33:12	\$122.37	\$67.71
	3/4	17:43:11	18:27:53	0:44:42	2	1:29:24	\$122.37	\$91.17
	3/4	18:48:09	18:57:54	0:09:45	2	0:19:30	\$122.37	\$19.89
	3/6	14:10:11	14:34:18	0:24:07	2	0:48:14	\$122.37	\$49.19
	3/7	4:34:14	4:54:27	0:20:13	2	0:40:26	\$122.37	\$41.23
	3/7	11:15:03	11:35:40	0:20:37	2	0:41:14	\$122.37	\$42.05
	3/8	16:10:51	16:16:51	0:06:00	2	0:12:00	\$122.37	\$12.24
	3/8	16:46:52	16:53:00	0:06:08	2	0:12:16	\$122.37	\$12.51
	3/12	5:04:02	5:52:09	0:48:07	2	1:36:14	\$122.37	\$98.13
	3/12	7:36:56	7:46:31	0:09:35	2	0:19:10	\$122.37	\$19.55
	3/13	10:29:34	10:35:49	0:06:15	2	0:12:30	\$122.37	\$12.75
	3/14	1:20:08	3:46:52	2:26:44	2	4:53:28	\$122.37	\$299.26
	3/14	1:33:32	3:06:44	1:33:12	2	3:06:24	\$122.37	\$190.08
	3/15	10:51:37	11:01:17	0:09:40	2	0:19:20	\$122.37	\$19.72
	3/15	17:54:41	18:11:19	0:16:38	2	0:33:16	\$122.37	\$33.92
	3/16	22:58:24	23:08:14	0:09:50	2	0:19:40	\$122.37	\$20.06
	3/23	16:13:47	16:27:55	0:14:08	2	0:28:16	\$122.37	\$28.82
	3/23	18:13:13	18:18:57	0:05:44	2	0:11:28	\$122.37	\$11.69
	3/25	20:05:25	20:11:28	0:06:03	2	0:12:06	\$122.37	\$12.34
	3/26	22:44:43	23:08:18	0:23:35	2	0:47:10	\$122.37	\$48.10
	3/27	11:42:02	11:51:54	0:09:52	2	0:19:44	\$122.37	\$20.12
	3/27	13:32:14	13:55:39	0:23:25	2	0:46:50	\$122.37	\$47.76
	3/28	10:44:40	10:54:48	0:10:08	2	0:20:16	\$122.37	\$20.67
	3/29	13:50:05	14:44:21	0:54:16	2	1:48:32	\$122.37	\$110.68
	3/29	16:47:12	16:53:09	0:05:57	2	0:11:54	\$122.37	\$12.14
	3/30	17:35:33	17:41:07	0:05:34	2	0:11:08	\$122.37	\$11.35
	3/31	2:42:23	3:58:53					
	3/31	3:55:50	3:58:32	0:02:42	2	0:05:24	\$122.37	\$5.51
	3/31	17:20:21	17:26:29	0:06:08	2	0:12:16	\$122.37	\$12.51
Total	31 Standbys			12:39:04		24:44:56		\$1,548.12

PD Standbys	Date	Start	End	UH	Crew	Total Hrs	\$ / Hr.	Total \$
1st St SW	3/2	6:23:21	7:53:30	1:30:09	2	3:00:18	\$122.37	\$183.86
C St SW	3/2	19:39:10	20:10:45	0:31:35	2	1:03:10	\$122.37	\$64.41
4th Ave SE	3/4	22:41:58	23:57:47	1:15:49	2	2:31:38	\$122.37	\$154.63
16th St SE	3/8	8:22:53	8:51:49	0:28:56	2	0:57:52	\$122.37	\$59.01
Old Marion Rd NE	3/9	20:58:44	21:50:59	0:52:15	2	1:44:30	\$122.37	\$106.56
C Ave NE	3/17	5:59:33	6:30:44	0:31:11	2	1:02:22	\$122.37	\$63.60
1 Ave E	3/21	14:47:43	15:43:57	0:56:14	2	1:52:28	\$122.37	\$114.69
Kirkwood Blvd SW	3/23	18:11:36	18:57:57	0:46:21	2	1:32:42	\$122.37	\$94.53
22nd Ave SW	3/24	6:48:04	7:29:47	0:41:43	2	1:23:26	\$122.37	\$85.08
1st St SW	3/30	6:09:45	7:20:17	1:10:32	2	2:21:04	\$122.37	\$143.85
1st Ave E	3/31	21:54:11	22:24:12	0:30:01	2	1:00:02	\$122.37	\$61.22
Total	11 Standbys			9:14:46		18:29:32		\$1,131.45

Paid Standby Events	Date	Start	End	UH	Crew	Total Hrs	\$ / Hr.	Total \$
US Cellular WWE Event	3/5	16:03:42	22:15:48	6:12:06	2	12:24:12	\$122.37	\$758.90
Division III NCAA Wrestling Tournament	3/11	10:12:09	14:56:54	4:44:45	2	9:29:30	\$122.37	\$580.75
Division III NCAA Wrestling Tournament	3/11	16:56:53	21:49:46	4:52:53	2	9:45:46	\$122.37	\$597.34
Division III NCAA Wrestling Tournament	3/12	8:48:56	14:47:05	5:58:09	2	11:56:18	\$122.37	\$730.45
Division III NCAA Wrestling Tournament	3/12	17:56:53	21:39:06	3:42:13	2	7:24:26	\$122.37	\$453.21
Total	5 Standbys			25:30:06		51:00:12		\$3,120.64

RR Ice Hockey (1)	Date	Start	End	UH	Crew	Total Hrs	\$ / Hr.	Total \$
Roughriders	3/2	18:10:23	21:34:42	1:42:09	1	3:24:19	\$122.37	\$208.35
Roughriders	3/12	18:03:06	21:30:00	1:43:27	1	3:26:54	\$122.37	\$210.99
Roughriders	3/18	18:21:39	21:45:00	1:41:41	1	3:23:21	\$122.37	\$207.37
Roughriders	3/25	18:52:15	21:40:28	1:24:06	1	2:48:13	\$122.37	\$171.54
Total	4 Standbys			6:31:23		13:02:47		\$798.24

Community Events	Date	Start	End	UH	Crew	Total Hrs	\$ / Hr.	Total \$
Jefferson HS Show Chior	3/5	7:41:09	13:49:15	6:08:06	2	12:16:12	\$122.37	\$750.74
Boy Scout Troop Ambulance Tour	3/7	15:41:11	16:44:43	0:31:46	1	0:31:46	\$122.37	\$64.79
Cub Scout Troop - Taylor Elementary	3/9	15:22:22	16:57:50	0:47:44	1	0:47:44	\$122.37	\$97.35
Washington HS CPR	3/10	8:03:24	14:15:03	3:05:50	1	3:05:50	\$122.37	\$378.99
Total	4 Standbys			10:33:26		16:41:31		\$1,291.87

Cancel as open

CR Titans Football	Date	Start	End	UH	Crew	Total Hrs	\$ / Hr.	Total \$
Titans FB	3/4	18:21:17	22:18:41	1:58:42	1	3:57:24	\$122.37	\$242.09
Titans FB	3/26	18:21:37	22:35:33	2:06:58	1	4:13:56	\$122.37	\$258.95
Total	2 Standbys			4:05:40		8:11:20		\$501.04

Total In Kind Dollars

\$5,270.71



City of Cedar Rapids
Public Safety and Youth Services Committee
Police Department Community Room, 505 First Street SW
April 11, 2016
4:00 p.m.

Purpose of Public Safety and Youth Services Committee:

To enable the City Council to discuss and evaluate in greater detail these specific issues that directly impact the safety and security of the City of Cedar Rapids.

City Council Committee Members:

Council member Susie Weinacht, Chair

Council member Pat Shey

Council member Scott Overland

- Mayor Ron Corbett is an ex-officio member of all Council committees per City Charter Section 2.06

Agenda:

1. Approval of March Draft Minutes
2. Building Services Report (*Ciabatti*)
3. Area Ambulance Service Report (*Rippy*)
4. Cedar Rapids Fire Department Report (*English*)
5. Youth Services Report (*Hamblin/Leff*)
6. Police SAFE-CR Report (*Grieder*)
7. Cedar Rapids Police Department Report (*Jerman*)
8. Public Comment (10 min; 2 min. limit per person)
9. May Forecast Items

Any discussion, feedback or recommendation by Committee member(s) should not be construed or understood to be an action or decision by or for the Cedar Rapids City Council. Further, any recommendation(s) the Committee may make to the City Council is based on information possessed by the Committee at that point in time.

Anyone who requires an auxiliary aid or service for effective communication, or a modification of policies or procedures to participate in a City program, service, or activity, should contact Cristy Hamblin at 319-286-5439 or c.hamblin@cedar-rapids.org as soon as possible but no later than 48 hours before the event.



On March 22, 2016, the City of Cedar Rapids participated in an ISO (insurance Service Office) analysis. ISO evaluate building departments across the United States, and provides data for use by insurance companies. ISO visits communities on a five year cycle. The last ISO visit occurred here is Cedar Rapids in early 2011.

ISO's role is to "grade" the department and assign a BCEGS (Building Code Effectiveness Grading Scale) to each department analyzed. The number of municipalities has steadily increased over ISO building department since the inception in 1995. **Currently (prior to this evaluation) the City of Cedar Rapids holds a 4 for one and two family dwelling and a 3 for all other construction (Commercial).** The number of communities who participate in ISO's BCEGS program total over 20,800.

I have attached an analysis of the State of Iowa to compare our results against other communities. In addition, the link mentioned below will contain a similar and in depth analysis of all 50 States.

Please refer to the following FAQ's and selected portions from the guide entitled [National Building Code Assessment Report, ISO's Building Code Effectiveness Grading Schedule 2015](#) to better explain the ISO process. For more detailed information, please refer to the following link.

https://www.isomitigation.com/downloads/ISO-BCEGS-State-Report_web.pdf

What is the Building Code Effectiveness Grading Schedule?

The Building Code Effectiveness Grading Schedule (BCEGS) assesses the building codes in effect in a particular community as well as how the community enforces its building codes.

BCEGS particularly emphasizes building code requirements designed to mitigate losses from natural hazards. BCEGS develops a relative Building Code Effectiveness Classification for each community for insurance rating and underwriting purposes. BCEGS is similar in concept to ISO's Public Protection Classification (PPC™) evaluations of municipal fire suppression capabilities used by insurers for decades.

How BCEGS work?

The Building Code Effectiveness Grading Schedule (BCEGS) assesses the building codes in effect in a particular community and how the community enforces its building codes, with special emphasis on mitigation of losses from natural hazards.

The concept is simple: municipalities with well-enforced, up-to-date codes should demonstrate better loss experience, and insurance rates can reflect that. The prospect of lessening catastrophe-related damage and ultimately lowering insurance costs provides an incentive for communities to enforce their building codes rigorously — especially as they relate to windstorm and earthquake damage.

The anticipated upshot: safer buildings, less damage, and lower insured losses from catastrophes.

The BCEGS program assigns each municipality a BCEGS grade of 1 (exemplary commitment to building code enforcement) to 10. ISO develops advisory rating credits that apply to ranges of BCEGS classifications (1-3, 4-7, 8-9, 10). ISO gives insurers BCEGS classifications, BCEGS advisory credits, and related underwriting information.

What is the evaluation process?

ISO distributes detailed questionnaires to building officials of all municipalities in a state. Upon completion of the questionnaire, ISO arranges for a trained field representative to meet at a mutually convenient time at the community site with each municipality's building officials. The ISO representative and building officials together review and verify the community's capabilities. The ISO representative seeks clarification and obtains supporting documentation, as necessary. The review usually takes from two to four hours. The ISO field representative may also visit construction sites with building officials. The ISO field representative then tabulates the points "scored" on the various sections of the schedule and assigns a grade. **Currently (prior to this evaluation) the City of Cedar Rapids holds a 4 for one and two family dwelling and a 3 for all other construction.**

How can a building department be best prepared for its evaluation? What resource materials should be available?

Department officials should have available documentation that supports questionnaire answers. For example, officials must provide copies of employee-code certifications, training records, the building code agency's budget, number of inspections and plan reviews performed (by type: one- and two-family dwellings, multifamily residential, and commercial and industrial structures). In addition, records on the amount of time spent on public-awareness programs will help complete the evaluation.

How much time does it take to prepare for an ISO visit?

Members of the Building Services Department spent upwards of 200 hours preparing for the ISO visit. Those hours include each staff member preparing employee data sheets detailing their daily activities for the reporting period. Those hours also include the assembly of all documents related to the analysis, including job descriptions for each staff, training records for each staff and copies of all associated ordinances.

Obviously, the goal in each analysis is to improve your overall score. Therefore, staff spent as much time as needed to document the activities of the department. In addition, the hope is by providing the most comprehensive document to our ISO staff liaison, that the request for additional information (after their visit) is greatly reduced. I am happy to report there was only one request, and that information was provided within an hour of their departure.

How does BCEGS affect insurance rates?

ISO has filed advisory rating programs, including rating credits for commercial fire and allied lines, business owners, homeowners, and dwelling lines of insurance. The credits apply to various ranges of BCEGS classifications (1-3, 4-7, 8-9, 10). Any building constructed in the year ISO classifies a community, or in a later year, will be eligible for the program. Buildings in communities with classifications of 9 and lower (down to 1) will receive a rating credit. A classification of 10 will receive no credit. Classifications of 1-3 will receive the highest credit. Classifications of 4-9 will receive intermediate credits.

How often, if ever, will the classifications change?

ISO will reevaluate each community at least every five years, and classifications may or may not change, depending on ISO's findings. Classifications for existing buildings will not change as a result of a community's reclassification.

What is a community's classification based on?

A community's classification is based on:

- Administration of codes, including building code edition in use
- Modification of the codes
- Zoning provisions to mitigate natural hazards
- Training of code enforcers
- Certification of code enforcers
- Incentives for outside education/certification
- Building officials' qualifications
- Contractor/builder licensing and bonding
- Public awareness programs
- Participation in code development activities and the appeal process

Review of building plans, including:

- Staffing levels
- Staffing qualifications
- Level of detail of plan review
- Performance evaluations
- Review of plans for one- and two-family dwellings, multifamily dwellings, and commercial buildings

Field inspections, including:

- Staffing levels
- Staffing qualifications
- Level of detail of inspections
- Performance evaluations
- Final inspections
- Issuance of certificates of occupancy

In addition, ISO collects underwriting information, including natural hazards common to the area, number of inspection permits issued, number of inspections completed, the building department's funding mechanism and date of establishment, size of the jurisdiction and population, and fair market value of all buildings.

Why do communities have an incentive to improve their rating?

Any community with a classification other than 1 has many incentives to improve its classification, including: the prospect of reduced injuries and loss of life, reduced property losses, and reduced economic and social disruption caused by catastrophes the prospect of lower insurance rates on buildings constructed after the community improves its classification pride and professionalism of the community building department to be the best it can be good public policy


Is there a cost associated with the evaluation process?

Insurers bear all of the program's costs, because insurers use BCEGS information. Municipalities and taxpayers don't incur any costs.

BCEGS State Averages

	Score	Class
Commercial	64	5
Residential	60	5

The BCEGS 1-10 classification is based on a 1-to-100 point score. For complete details on the scoring process, see page 9, "BCEGS Grading Process" and "Determining a BCEGS Classification."



By the Numbers

6 FEMA/National Flood Insurance Program Community Rating System (CRS) communities in the state

4,506 Average population serviced per certified building code official in the state

State Facts

10,000 Any Iowa community with less than 10,000 residents is not required to enforce the state building code, but it can do so by choice.

Top Natural Hazards



Building Code Adoption History (as of 6/30/15)

ICC Code Release	Commercial Date Adopted	Residential Date Adopted
2003	1/2/04	1/2/04
2006	1/2/07	1/1/07
2009	1/2/10	1/2/10
2012	Not adopted	Not adopted
2015	Not adopted	Not adopted

BCEGS, FEMA's Community Rating System, and the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant Program

The information gathered during the BCEGS process provides a key component of the National Flood Insurance Program's (NFIP) Community Rating System (CRS). Under the CRS, flood insurance premium rates are discounted to reward community actions that meet the three goals of the CRS:

- reduce flood damage to insurable property
- strengthen and support the insurance aspects of the NFIP
- encourage a comprehensive approach to floodplain management

As outlined in the CRS Coordinator's Manual, "To become a Class 6 or better flood community, a community must have received a classification of 5/5 or better under the Building Code Effectiveness Grading Schedule."⁵



For the 2015 fiscal year, the Department of Homeland Security created an appropriations program known as the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant Program (PDM). The PDM provides resources to assist states, tribal governments, territories, and local communities in implementing a sustained predisaster program for natural hazard mitigation. FEMA considers BCEGS classifications when prioritizing communities to determine the distribution of \$30 million in mitigation grants. As part of the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), communities declared federal disaster areas can use up to 5 percent of their funding toward the costs of mitigation activities, provided those actions improve their BCEGS classification.



FEMA

Image courtesy if ISO.

PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE
Building Services Dept
April 11, 2016









Completed Inspections Mar 2015 - Mar 2016

Area (# Inspectors)	Mar '15	Apr '15	May '15	Jun '15	Jul '15	Aug '15	Sep '15	Oct '15	Nov '15	Dec '15	Jan '16	Feb '16	Mar '16
Building (4)	506	653	597	624	642	661	705	577	513	480	381	332	364
Electrical (3)	338	335	336	419	432	488	451	376	377	381	293	295	353
Mechanical (2)	193	245	268	331	306	334	275	268	237	234	196	169	200
Plumbing (2)	394	339	328	357	314	261	286	300	309	311	224	241	247
Subtotal Trade	1431	1572	1529	1731	1694	1744	1717	1521	1436	1406	1094	1037	1164
Housing (5)	271	283	314	399	323	330	369	352	259	257	299	195	237
Nuisance (3)	17	50	73	93	33	69	90	59	76	36	28	18	28
Zoning (2)	202	192	247	245	276	180	165	144	122	191	152	100	128
Subtotal Hou/Nui	490	525	634	737	632	579	624	555	457	484	479	313	393
TOTAL	1921	2097	2163	2468	2326	2323	2341	2076	1893	1890	1573	1350	1557


Top Five Valuations for Building and Electrical

Main Address	Building (Commercial)	Valuation	Main Address	Building (Residential)	Valuation
777 76th Ave Dr SW	Mercy Care Prairie Creek	\$6,642,000	3311 Cedar River Ct NE	New Single Family	\$173,968
201 1st St SE	CRST-4th & 5th Floor	\$2,787,778	6315 Michael Dr NE	New Single Family	\$167,502
201 1st St SE	CRST-1st, 10th, 11th Floor	\$2,322,974	848 Crescent View Dr NE	New Multi-Family	\$148,134
450 1st St SW	The Metropolitan	\$2,225,000	8301 Monterey Dr NE	New Single Family	\$143,814
1220 1st Ave NE	Hickok Hall	\$1,800,000	844 Crescent View Dr NE	New Multi-Family	\$142,118
Main Address	Electrical (Commercial)	Valuation	Main Address	Electrical (Residential)	Valuation
201 1st St SE	CRST-4th & 5th Floor	\$800,000	2158 Lincolnshire Dr SE	Partial rewire/svc replace	\$10,000
323 3rd St SE	Cornerstone Place LC	\$400,000	2624 E Ave NW	Backup generator wiring	\$6,500
210 5th St SW	Kingston Pointe	\$250,000	610 5th St NW	Interior remodel	\$6,000
460 12th Ave SE	The Depot - Bldg A	\$180,000	1432 7th Ave SE	Interior remodel	\$6,000
222 3rd Ave SE, Ste 299	Armstrong Centre	\$39,400	3175 Seminole Valley Rd NE	Interior remodel	\$5,175






Status of Closed Complaints



Status of Open Complaints



Permits:

- Total permits issued are down 6% from this time last year.
- Total residential building permits are up 11% from this time last year.
- Total number of permits issued is up 40% from this time last month.

Inspections:

- Building inspections are down 18% from this time last year.
- Electrical inspections are up 25% from this time last year.
- Mechanical inspections are down 10% from this time last year.
- Plumbing inspections are down 15% from this time last year.
- Overall, the total number of inspections is down 5% from this time last year.
- Total number of inspections is up 11% from this time last month.

Complaints:

- Total number of open complaints is down 1% from this time last year.
- Total number of open cases is up 7% from this time last month.
- Total number of pending investigations has increased 44% from this time last year.
- Total number of open nuisance cases are up 14% from this time last year.
- Total number of open zoning cases are down 37% from this time last year.



**City of Cedar Rapids
Public Safety and Youth Services Committee Minutes
Police Department Community Room, 505 1st Street SW
Monday, April 11, 2016
4:00 p.m.**

Meeting was brought to order by Committee Chairperson Susie Weinacht at 4:00 p.m.

Present: Council members Susie Weinacht, Scott Overland, and Pat Shey; Fire Training Chief Andy Olesen, Police Chief Wayne Jerman, Building Services Director Kevin Ciabatti, and Amanda Grieder with SAFE-CR.

The March 14, 2016, Public Safety and Youth Services Committee minutes were approved.

Building Services Report – Kevin Ciabatti

Permits:

- Total permits issued are down 6% from this time last year.
- The total residential building permits are up 11% from this time last year.
- The total number of permits issued is up 40% from this time last month.

Inspections:

- Building inspections are down 18% from this time last year.
- Electrical inspections are up 25% from this time last year.
- Mechanical inspections are down 10% from this time last year.
- Plumbing inspections are down 15% from this time last year.
- Overall, the total number of inspections is down 5% from this time last year.
- The total number of inspections is up 11% from this time last month.

Complaints:

- The total number of open complaints is down 1% from this time last year.
- The total number of open cases is up 7% from this time last month.
- The total number of pending investigations has increased 44% from this time last year.
- The total number of open nuisance cases is up 14% from this time last year.
- The total number of open zoning cases is down 37% from this time last year.

Director Ciabatti presented information regarding Insurance Service Office (ISO) analysis, and his report is attached. ISO is primarily used as a consulting agency for

insurance companies. They evaluate building departments, as well as fire departments, on a five-year cycle. The last time they were here was in early 2011. They look at your operation and assign a BCEGS number, which stands for Building Code Effectiveness Grading Scale. They have been doing fire departments for a long time, and they started doing building departments in the late 1990's. Generally speaking, there is a steady increase in the number of cities in the analysis, and there are upwards of 20,000 cities now that take part. Director Ciabatti has included some frequently asked questions to his ISO report. For detailed information regarding ISO, please see https://www.isomitigation.com/downloads/ISO-BCEGS-State-Report_web.pdf.

We scored a 4 for residential, and a 3 for commercial for the last rating, and we have not received the analysis of the recent visit that took place on March 22nd. We are awaiting that information. Receiving a score of 3 or 4 is good, but 1 and 2 are much better. What they do in terms of the analysis is they grade building departments on a number of different things. Some of the things that they measure is your training, your typical data, how many permits and inspections you do, how detailed is the training of your staff, how many hours are spent on training, what's your budget for training, your job descriptions, and your codes. They analyze all that information, and then they come up with a score. It's really free consulting advice on how you can improve your department.

Area Ambulance Report – Keith Rippy

Executive Director Rippy was not present at the meeting; however, his reports are attached.

Fire Department Report – Training Chief Andy Olesen

Reports from the Fire Department are attached.

Chief Olesen highlighted several high profile fires which recently occurred:

- An apartment fire which started because of discarded smoking materials
- Residential fire with fatality
- Commercial fire ruled incendiary
- Residential fire because of lightning strike
- Large residential home fire

Also, discussion was heard regarding the last code update relating to large residential homes, townhouses, and apartments. Now we have a threshold of 5,000 sq. ft. on one floor, or a total of 10,000 sq. ft. for the home, it must have a sprinkler system. Previously 4 units or less did not have to have sprinklers, but now with the last code update, they are required to have a sprinkler system.

The Fire Department is in the process of recruiting to hire firefighters, and Chief Olesen states they have been on a recent recruiting trip to Omaha. Also, Fire and Life Safety Camp is coming up in April, so the registration is on-going for that.

Julie Popelka, from the Fire Department's Life Safety Division, presented information regarding a senior housing program called Remember When. This program was created by the National Fire Protection Agency (NFPA). It is a group and home-visit training program for older adults on how to reduce their chances of falling, or having a fire in their home. This program has been around for a long time, and it just got instituted in the last year in Cedar Rapids. Julie's job is to get together with seniors and talk and do a walk-through of their homes. She goes over fall prevention tips, as well as fire prevention tips. She might point out to them a rug that they might trip over, a hand towel that's right on their stove that could catch fire, etc. She also will check out their smoke detectors, and she explained that smoke detectors are only good for 10 years. Last year she went into about 100 homes and placed about 160 smoke detectors. So far this year, she has gone into 30 homes and placed about 60 detectors. The State Fire Marshall's Office has supplied the Fire Department with about 300 detectors with 10 year batteries. Julie will also replace the batteries on the battery operated smoke detectors. If it's a wired in detector, she explains to them that they are going to need to get the unit replaced. Many of them do not know that they have to have them replaced. Also, Julie does large group presentations.

Youth Services Update – Cristy Hamblin with CRPD

Sgt. Hamblin reviewed juvenile arrest data (reports are attached).

Last month it was reported that we had arrested a 7 year old for shoplifting; however, Sgt. Hamblin clarified that this youth is in the 7th grade at Harding Middle School. Looking at the statistics for juvenile arrests by race, sex, age, time, they are still disproportionate for the number of African American kids versus Caucasian kids. There were more males than females arrested, and 30% of the arrests occurred between 8:00 and noon. For juvenile arrests at school, Polk is the highest, with 29% of the arrests. Prairie had 10, and Jefferson had 6 arrests. The number one crime for the month of March was shoplifting, with 23 arrests. There were 22 arrests for Disorderly Conduct, and then for All Other Group B Offenses there were 21 arrests.

A question was raised regarding what is the wrap around services for these kids when they are continuing to be charged and arrested? Sgt. Hamblin stated at school, there's wrap-around services that can be provided. When it occurs out of school, the schools are to be notified because that can affect their extra-curricular activities. But, most often, wrap around services are between the schools, juvenile court, and juvenile probation. If it's for shoplifting, and it's their first offense, they can attend the Hands Off Program.

In June, Sgt. Hamblin will be attending an Equal Justice for Juveniles: Developing Action Plans to Reduce Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Juvenile Justice. The conference focuses on reducing minority contact with the juvenile justice system. There are nine different communities that are being asked to attend this conference. The other cities are: Council Bluffs, Davenport, Des Moines, Dubuque, Fort Dodge, Iowa City, Sioux City, and Waterloo.

Youth Services Update-Angie Cole with Recreation Dept.

The Recreation Department is in the process of hiring for seasonal employment, and they have over 200 openings right now. 75% of those are for Aquatics. They hire 15 year olds, and push it out to the high school. Also, they conduct job fairs, and they do between 8 – 15 job fairs each year, as well as use the Gazette.

Angie stated that they are doing a survey of citizens for the NW Rec Center to see what they would like to have there, what ages that will be attending, etc. to get feedback and get them more involved in the Rec Center to begin with, so they are more engaged with it.

Ambrose will be closing this fall, and they are looking at non-profit organizations to see where they might have areas where they can continue some programs on the SE side. They are looking at the programs that are highly attended by youth and adults on the SE side, and where there might be gym space available.

SAFE-CR Update – Amanda Grieder

Amanda presented the SAFE-CR Public Safety Update (attached). Since the implementation of Chapter 22A there have been 2,660 Founded Calls for Service, which have affected 1,889 properties. Of those, 371 have met the threshold of 22A and have been deemed Nuisance Properties. We have brought 244 properties back into compliance. Of the 127 active nuisance properties, 1 is commercial, 75 are owner occupied, and 51 are rental properties. In the past few months, we have seen that the owner occupied and rental properties have been even, and now the owner occupied properties has surpassed the rental properties. This shows that landlords are actually taking some ownership in the program and buying into it to ensure that their properties are safe and clean. SAFE-CR conducted 92 background checks in March, for a total of 31 registered landlords. Overall, there have been 3,538 background checks, ordered by 393 landlords.

SAFE-CR has billed out \$41,516.70 for Police Calls for Service, and Finance has collected \$7,719.66. SAFE-CR will be sending out letters (final notices) this month to let people know that they have outstanding bills and make them aware that they need to pay their bills for their Calls for Service. Some of those will go to small claims court, and then the rest of those will go through the Housing Board of Appeals to try to collect the money. Housing and Building Services have been really crucial in that collaboration, because they are the ones who will hold the ability to suspend a rental registration.

Amanda highlighted (on page 2 of the Public Safety Update) a success story about how SAFE-CR works with the Section 8 Housing Program.

Police Department Report –Chief Wayne Jerman

Chief Jerman reviewed the Activity Comparison and the Neighborhood Activity Summary (attached).

Aggravated Assaults are up 20%, due to an increase of domestic related calls. Auto thefts are up 30%; however, in 90% of the incidents, the keys are either left in the vehicle, or the vehicles are left running by the owner.

Burglaries are up over 40%, and burglars are breaking and entering into predominantly residential homes during the daytime hours in all quadrants of the city. They will go to a home during the daytime, knock on the front door, and when they don't get an answer, they will go around to the back and force their way in. Sometimes they will enter through an unlocked door. Also, on other occasions, they will knock on the door when a resident is home and then they will make up a fictitious excuse to be there. Investigators have identified some subjects who we believe have been committing most of these offenses.

Chief Jerman highlighted several arrests which occurred directly because of citizen assistance (report attached). Thirteen involve either OWIs and/or public intoxication, or the use of drugs, and disorderly house. Substance abuse is a problem that warrants more attention, intervention, prevention and treatment. There was a burglary arrest that occurred as a result of a citizen involvement. The citizen reported individuals who were in his driveway who weren't supposed to be there and called the police. Also, we were able to make an arrest of a 16 year old with a gun as a result of a lot of cooperation from the citizens.

Update on PCAT

PCAT went operational on January 9th, and since that time through the end of last month, they have made some tremendous arrests, and are performing in a superb manner. In just that short time, they've already taken three guns off the street, stopped over 200 vehicles, served 36 arrest warrants, made 24 arrests for possession of drugs, seized up to \$700 in cash, stopped over 285 individuals, conducted 30 field interviews, and conducted 108 foot patrols. Both of the vehicles that the PCAT team utilizes are both outfitted with automated license plate readers. Since going operational, we have been able to recover two stolen automobiles with the use of the license plate readers. They have scanned over 85,000 license plates, and of those scans, they have had 202 hits. The PCAT team recently visited the Kansas City Police Department, where they were briefed on their No Violence Alliance Program. Our PCAT officers shadowed the Kansas City team while they were there, and they brought back a lot of their strategies and techniques. Kansas City has been very successful with their No Violence Alliance Program.

Chief Jerman also shared a couple of success stories of the PCAT team:

- The first night they were operational, it was less than two hours that they hit the street and they were involved in a foot chase. They not only made an arrest for the subject who had a firearm in his waistband, but this person was also prohibited from carrying a firearm, and he was in possession of marijuana.

- Early in the month of March, we had signed complaints for a number of individuals who committed a robbery in the first degree, a firearm was used in this robbery, and during this robbery an additional firearm was stolen. The PCAT officers were very familiar with the suspects. They developed an abundance of intelligence and we were able to identify possible locations where some of these suspects were located. They worked closely with a team of investigators and based on the intelligence, a vehicle was identified, located and stopped. One of these suspects was arrested, and located in the vehicle was the stolen firearm from the robbery.
- The PCAT team was responsible for the foot chase of the individual where a 16 year old was in possession of a gun during a chase, he fired off a round. He was located, charged, and this firearm was also located and seized.
- The PCAT officers were in the area prior to a recent homicide, and had stopped the vehicle with the suspects that were eventually involved in this incident. Based on their prior stop of the incident happening, they were able to assist investigators with developing the information that led to an arrest.

This week is National Crime Victim's Week, so we want to make sure we always remember victims of crime. Also, it is National Telecommunicator's Week, and we need to thank, recognize, and appreciate the dispatchers who answer the 911 calls and dispatch police, fire and rescue units.

MAY AGENDA ITEM FORECAST

- Building Services Report (*Ciabatti*)
- Cedar Rapids Fire Department Report (*English*)
- Area Ambulance Service Report (*Rippy*)
- Youth Services Report (*Hamblin/Leff*)
- Police SAFE-CR Report (*Grieder*)
- Cedar Rapids Police Department Report (*Jerman*)
- Diversity Status Report (*LaSheila Yates*)
- CR Youth Status/Progress (*Kirsty Sanchez*)
- June Agenda Forecast Items

The meeting was adjourned at 5:20 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Jean Novak
Administrative Assistant
Cedar Rapids Police Department

CEDAR RAPIDS FIRE DEPARTMENT PERFORMANCE METRICS – MARCH 2016 RESULTS

Objective/Strategy (Owner)	
6.3: Provide high quality and efficient fire prevention and detection services to the citizens of Cedar Rapids.	Achievement of loss minimization and citizen satisfaction strategies: (Goal)
6.3.1 : Customer satisfaction There were 151 customer satisfaction surveys sent out in MARCH and 38 surveys returned which totals 25.17% returned.	Percentage of residents who reported fire department services as satisfactory: (> 4.5) (on a scale of 1-5 with "5" being the highest score) MARCH result = 4.91
6.3.2 : Overall loss minimization	Achievement based on overall property loss of residential and commercial property strategies:
6.3.2.1: Reduce overall loss minimization for residential property. * Note: There were 11 single-family dwelling fires and 6 multi-family (apartment/condominium/hotel/motel) fires.	Reduce average dollar loss per residential fire (in thousands): (< \$21.10) (NFPA FD average) MARCH result = 17 residential fires - \$13.25 Reduce the ISO rating for residential : (< 4) (on a scale of 1-10 with "1" being the highest score) MARCH result = 3.0/9.0
6.3.2.2 : Reduce overall loss of fire damage for commercial property. There were 2 commercial fires during the month of MARCH.	Reduce the ISO rating for commercial: (< 4) (on a scale of 1-10 with "1" being the highest score) MARCH result = 3.0/9.0 Reduce average dollar loss for commercial property (in thousands): (< \$15.00) (NFPA FD average) MARCH result = 2 commercial fires - \$162.50
6.3.2.3 : Provide fire prevention and detection to reduce reported fire incidents	Number of fires per 1000 population: (< 4.5) (NFPA FD average) MARCH result = 39 fires – 3.66
6.3.3 : Provide high quality services on the prevention and deterrence of fires.	Achievement of strategies related to prevention and deterrence of fires:
6.3.3.1 : Reduce number of arson cases through awareness education and continued investigation and prosecution. ** Note: There were 4 intentional fires in MARCH (1 house fire, 1 vacant house fire, 1 domestic dispute at hotel and 1 illegal recreational fire – a tree that had fallen).	Number of intentional fires per 1000 population: (< .28) (NFPA FD average)(annualized) MARCH result = 0.38**
6.3.3.2 : Improve quality of public education and public relations programs by ensuring that fire safety instruction or fire demonstrations meet customer's expectations.	Achieve an average of 4.5 or higher on monthly customer survey cards: (> 4.5) (on a scale of 1-5 with "5" being the highest score) MARCH result = 4.97
6.3.4 : Increase efficiency and effectiveness of fire suppression and mitigation.	Achievement of the strategies related to suppression and mitigation:
6.3.4.1 : Increase effectiveness of suppression and mitigation of fires	Percentage of fires confined to room or object of origin: (> 40%) (NFPA FD average) MAR. result = 52.63% (10 of 19 structure fires)
6.3.4.2 : Reduce response time to reduce property loss and risk to civilians.	Increase the percentage of response times that are less than 5 minutes: (> 90%) (NFPA recommendation) MARCH result = 55.12%
6.3.4.3 : Reduce the average amount of time firefighters travel for suppression and mitigation of fires.	Reduce average amount of time firefighters travel to response location: (< 5 minutes) (NFPA recommendation) MARCH result = 5:48
6.3.4.4 : Decrease the average time for firefighters to receive alarm and leave the station	Decrease time it takes firefighters to leave the station: (< 1 minute) (NFPA recommendation) MARCH results = Fire – 1:21 EMS – 1:14


Cedar Rapids Fire Department - EMS Performance Metrics 2015-2016												
July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	March	April	May	June	FY 2015
Calls												
Total	635	674	707	630	583	596	520	615				5623
Per Day	20.5	21.7	23.6	20.3	19.47	19.2	17.9	19.8				21.1
Assist Ambulance	176	205	185	164	163	194	168	188				1623
Medical - Cancelled	140	119	156	129	116	127	128	137				1194

Service Provided												
Total Procedures	330	400	404	393	340	341	625	842				3991
BLS Procedures	248	336	320	325	284	288	567	803				3433
BLS Provided %	75.2%	84.0%	79.2%	82.7%	83.6%	83.0%	90.0%	95.0%				86.0%
ALS Procedures	82	64	84	68	56	53	58	39				558
ALS Provided %	24.8%	16.0%	20.8%	17.3%	16.4%	17.1%	10.0%	5.0%				14.0%

Chief Complaint Type	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	Percent	
Allergic Reaction	1	3	4	4	0	3	0	2	4													21	0.7%
Fracture/Sprain Total	11	13	8	8	8	14	15	8	4													89	3.2%
Abdominal Pain	8	11	20	5	12	7	8	7	8													86	3.1%
Burn	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0													3	0.1%
Cardiac Symptoms	35	36	33	38	23	24	29	28	20													266	9.4%
Difficulty Breathing	30	34	38	28	24	42	27	29	31													283	10.0%
Drowning	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0													2	0.1%
ETOH (Alcohol Related)	5	5	10	10	4	5	8	4	4													55	2.0%
GI/GU (Intestinal/Urinary)	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0													6	0.2%
OB/GYN	0	5	6	3	4	1	4	2	3													28	1.0%
Overdose	6	6	11	7	10	12	12	6	8													78	2.8%
Poisoning	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0													4	0.1%
Stabbing	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1													5	0.2%
Psychiatric	5	4	4	4	1	6	1	3	2													30	1.1%
GSW (Gun Shot Wound)	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	3	3													16	0.6%
Seizure	19	24	22	23	26	28	8	18	18													186	6.6%
Flu Symptoms	4	1	3	6	5	0	2	3	6													30	1.1%
Stroke	8	4	4	5	7	4	3	4	2													41	1.5%
Motor Vehicle	26	29	29	35	29	19	14	6	10													197	7.0%
Diabetic	9	14	11	9	7	16	6	5	9													86	3.1%
Unconscious	8	19	11	12	12	18	24	12	11													127	4.5%
Other	136	137	148	146	130	124	134	96	126													1177	41.8%
Total Complaints																					2816		

FIRE MARSHAL'S MONTHLY REPORT MARCH 2016

			Total
Annual Inspections	Occupancy	229	\$24,900.00
Site Assessments	Research on Properties	1	\$30.00
Misc Permit Fees	Fire Construction, Temp CO, Fire Alarm Testing, Limited Time Permits	18	\$1,470.00
Fire Compliance	Plan Reviews	35	\$26,409.35
Total			\$52,809.35



MARCH CUSTOMER SURVEY RESULTS

EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES

The 9-1-1 call was handled in a prompt, courteous and competent manner.	5.00
The firefighters acted in a concerned and caring manner.	5.00
The firefighters clearly explained the procedures performed.	4.80
The firefighters and equipment were presented in a professional manner.	5.00
I was satisfied with the overall quality of the care.	5.00

COMMENTS:	
Excellent response!	
All did a fine job!	
Exceptional!!	
Before this visit they were mean to me and said they could put me in a nursing home. They scolded me! This incident they changed and were nice to me.	
Very professional and courteous.	

FIRE MARSHAL'S OFFICE - INSPECTIONS

Staff addressed my plan (building, special event, sprinkler, fire alarm) and/or inspection in a timely manner.	4.92
Staff gave adequate and clear information to my questions.	4.92
Staff treated me in a fair and professional manner.	4.62
The requirements and/or violations, in my opinion, were reasonable.	5.00
The assistance provided met my expectations.	5.00
Overall experience was favorable.	5.00

COMMENTS:	
Always polite and professional.	
I don't believe it is right that we are charged for a service required. Fire Dept. is paid out of property taxes. Double dipping!	

FIRE MARSHAL'S OFFICE - PUBLIC EDUCATION/COMMUNITY RELATIONS

The quality of the fire safety instruction or demonstration met my expectations.	5.00
The subject matter of the instruction/demonstration was meaningful and valuable.	4.92
The quality of the handout materials met my expectations.	5.00
The presenters were prompt and professional.	5.00
Overall experience was favorable.	4.92

COMMENTS:	
Excellent program.	
Very nice to a disabled family.	
She did an amazing job talking to our kids at their level using language they understood. Outstanding.	
We thought Stacy was so well informed about car seat installation and she provided great instructions for us! She is so good. I tell everyone I know how informed and educated she is and I highly recommend her!	
911 activity! Great presentation! Thanks.	

FIRE SUPPRESSION

The 9-1-1 call was handled in a prompt, courteous and competent manner.	5.00
The firefighters' response time was prompt.	5.00
The firefighters' actions helped reduce property damage.	5.00
The firefighters acted in a concerned, caring and professional manner.	5.00
I was satisfied with the assistance provided after the fire or incident.	4.00
I was satisfied with the overall quality of the service provided.	5.00

COMMENTS:	
------------------	--

CEDAR RAPIDS POLICE DEPARTMENT

JUVENILE ARRESTS by ARREST LOCATION

Arrested 3/1/2016 - 3/31/2016

ARREST LOCATION		Total Charges	Total Arrests	Percent Arrests
1310 11TH ST NW	HARRISON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	4	1	1.03%
4141 JOHNSON AVE NW	HOOVER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	1	1	1.03%
355 18TH ST SE	JOHNSON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	1	1	1.03%
620 10TH ST SE	MCKINLEY MIDDLE SCHOOL	2	2	2.06%
5200 E AVE NW	TAFT MIDDLE SCHOOL	1	1	1.03%
1243 20TH ST SW	JEFFERSON HIGH SCHOOL	6	6	6.19%
4545 WENIG RD NE	KENNEDY HIGH SCHOOL	2	2	2.06%
2205 FOREST DR SE	WASHINGTON HIGH SCHOOL	2	2	2.06%
401 76TH AVE SW	PRAIRIE SCHOOLS	10	6	6.19%
1500 B AVE NE	POLK ALTERNATIVE SCHOOL	12	9	9.28%
3015 12TH AVE SW		2	1	1.03%
1714 13TH AVE SW		3	2	2.06%
15TH ST SE / 4TH AVE SE		1	1	1.03%
2604 16TH AVE SW		2	1	1.03%
3601 16TH AVE SW		2	1	1.03%
300 16TH ST SE		1	1	1.03%
322 17TH ST NE		1	1	1.03%
400 1ST AVE E		2	1	1.03%
1630 1ST AVE NE 201		1	1	1.03%
2900 1ST AVE NE		1	1	1.03%
4444 1ST AVE NE		4	4	4.12%
4601 1ST AVE SE		3	3	3.09%
505 1ST ST SW		5	3	3.09%
1243 20TH AVE SW		1	1	1.03%
114 21ST ST SW		3	1	1.03%
3601 29TH AVE SW		6	5	5.15%
29TH ST NE / PRAIRIE DR NE		1	1	1.03%
600 2ND ST SW		2	1	1.03%
2ND ST SW / 8TH AVE SW		1	1	1.03%
1651 34TH ST SE		2	1	1.03%
8TH ST NW / E AVE NW		2	1	1.03%
1721 9TH ST NW		1	1	1.03%
2645 BLAIRS FERRY RD NE		6	6	6.19%
361 COLLINS RD NE		3	3	3.09%
1500 E AVE NE		3	1	1.03%
EDGEWOOD RD NW / WOOD SIDE DR NW		1	1	1.03%
2400 EDGEWOOD RD SW		4	2	2.06%
4728 F AVE NE		1	1	1.03%
HWY 30 OFF RAMP / WILLIAMS BLVD SW		1	1	1.03%
3000 J ST SW 1503		1	1	1.03%
1843 JOHNSON AVE NW		2	2	2.06%
341 KIRKWOOD CT SW 11		1	1	1.03%
4746 LOGAN LN SE		1	1	1.03%

CEDAR RAPIDS POLICE DEPARTMENT

JUVENILE ARRESTS by ARREST LOCATION

Arrested 3/1/2016 - 3/31/2016

900 MAPLEWOOD DR NE		3	1	1.03%
6510 MINDEN LN NE		1	1	1.03%
4035 MT VERNON RD SE		1	1	1.03%
1402 O AVE NW		5	2	2.06%
6600 OHIO ST SW		1	1	1.03%
100 SUMMER CIR NE		1	1	1.03%
3800 VINE AVE SE		2	1	1.03%
800 WALFORD RD SW		2	1	1.03%
1500 WASHINGTON AVE SE		1	1	1.03%
1860 WILLIAMS BLVD SW		1	1	1.03%
1200 WILSON AVE SW		1	1	1.03%
		131	97	100.00%

CEDAR RAPIDS POLICE DEPARTMENT

JUVENILE CHARGES by OFFENSE/ARRESTEE AGE

Arrested 1/1/2016 - 3/31/2016

An individual juvenile arrest can have multiple charges per arrest.
This table contains counts of all juvenile charges for each IBR OFFENSE category.

OFFENSE Arrestee Age	Jan-2016	Feb-2016	Mar-2016	Total	
MURDER & NONNEGLIGENT MANSLAUGHTER	Jan	Feb	Mar		
16	0	0	1	1	0.27%
Total	0	0	1	1	0.27%
SEX OFFENSE-FORCIBLE RAPE	Jan	Feb	Mar		
16	8	0	0	8	2.18%
Total	8	0	0	8	2.18%
ROBBERY	Jan	Feb	Mar		
16	0	1	0	1	0.27%
17	0	0	1	1	0.27%
Total	0	1	1	2	0.54%
ASSAULT AGGRAVATED	Jan	Feb	Mar		
15	0	0	1	1	0.27%
16	1	0	1	2	0.54%
17	1	1	0	2	0.54%
Total	2	1	2	5	1.36%
ASSAULT SIMPLE	Jan	Feb	Mar		
11	1	0	5	6	1.63%
12	1	3	1	5	1.36%
13	3	1	0	4	1.09%
14	4	4	2	10	2.72%
15	1	8	4	13	3.54%
16	1	3	1	5	1.36%
17	0	1	2	3	0.82%
Total	11	20	15	46	12.53%
ARSON	Jan	Feb	Mar		
11	0	0	1	1	0.27%
17	1	0	0	1	0.27%
Total	1	0	1	2	0.54%
BURGLARY	Jan	Feb	Mar		
13	1	0	0	1	0.27%
14	0	1	2	3	0.82%
15	1	7	3	11	3.00%
16	0	1	0	1	0.27%
17	2	2	0	4	1.09%
Total	4	11	5	20	5.45%

CEDAR RAPIDS POLICE DEPARTMENT

JUVENILE CHARGES by OFFENSE/ARRESTEE AGE

Arrested 1/1/2016 - 3/31/2016

OFFENSE Arrestee Age	Jan-2016	Feb-2016	Mar-2016	Total	
THEFT SHOPLIFTING	Jan	Feb	Mar		
07	0	1	0	1	0.27%
11	0	0	1	1	0.27%
12	3	0	2	5	1.36%
14	1	1	2	4	1.09%
15	3	3	5	11	3.00%
16	6	2	4	12	3.27%
17	4	5	9	18	4.90%
Total	17	12	23	52	14.17%
THEFT FROM MOTOR VEHICLE	Jan	Feb	Mar		
13	1	1	0	2	0.54%
16	0	1	1	2	0.54%
17	0	0	1	1	0.27%
Total	1	2	2	5	1.36%
THEFT ALL OTHER	Jan	Feb	Mar		
15	2	0	0	2	0.54%
16	2	2	0	4	1.09%
17	1	3	2	6	1.63%
Total	5	5	2	12	3.27%
THEFT OF MOTOR VEHICLE	Jan	Feb	Mar		
17	1	0	0	1	0.27%
Total	1	0	0	1	0.27%
STOLEN PROPERTY OFFENSES	Jan	Feb	Mar		
17	1	0	0	1	0.27%
Total	1	0	0	1	0.27%
VANDALISM	Jan	Feb	Mar		
12	1	0	0	1	0.27%
13	1	0	0	1	0.27%
14	1	0	1	2	0.54%
15	2	0	0	2	0.54%
16	0	1	0	1	0.27%
17	0	3	1	4	1.09%
Total	5	4	2	11	3.00%
DRUG/NARCOTICS VIOLATIONS	Jan	Feb	Mar		
15	3	1	0	4	1.09%
16	1	2	1	4	1.09%
17	5	8	4	17	4.63%
Total	9	11	5	25	6.81%

CEDAR RAPIDS POLICE DEPARTMENT

JUVENILE CHARGES by OFFENSE/ARRESTEE AGE

Arrested 1/1/2016 - 3/31/2016

OFFENSE Arrestee Age	Jan-2016	Feb-2016	Mar-2016	Total	
DRUG EQUIPMENT VIOLATIONS	Jan	Feb	Mar		
14	0	0	1	1	0.27%
15	0	1	1	2	0.54%
16	0	1	3	4	1.09%
17	2	6	1	9	2.45%
Total	2	8	6	16	4.36%
WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	Jan	Feb	Mar		
16	0	2	4	6	1.63%
17	0	1	2	3	0.82%
Total	0	3	6	9	2.45%
DISORDERLY CONDUCT	Jan	Feb	Mar		
11	0	1	0	1	0.27%
12	1	2	3	6	1.63%
13	1	2	1	4	1.09%
14	5	4	4	13	3.54%
15	2	7	7	16	4.36%
16	5	5	4	14	3.81%
17	1	2	3	6	1.63%
Total	15	23	22	60	16.35%
DRUNKENNESS	Jan	Feb	Mar		
16	0	0	2	2	0.54%
17	0	0	1	1	0.27%
Total	0	0	3	3	0.82%
LIQUOR LAW VIOLATIONS	Jan	Feb	Mar		
15	0	2	0	2	0.54%
16	0	0	2	2	0.54%
17	0	1	3	4	1.09%
Total	0	3	5	8	2.18%
TRESPASS OF REAL PROPERTY	Jan	Feb	Mar		
12	1	0	0	1	0.27%
14	0	0	2	2	0.54%
15	0	0	2	2	0.54%
16	0	1	2	3	0.82%
17	1	1	0	2	0.54%
Total	2	2	6	10	2.72%

CEDAR RAPIDS POLICE DEPARTMENT

JUVENILE CHARGES by OFFENSE/ARRESTEE AGE

Arrested 1/1/2016 - 3/31/2016

OFFENSE Arrestee Age	Jan-2016	Feb-2016	Mar-2016	Total	
ALL OTHER GROUP B OFFENSES	Jan	Feb	Mar		
11	0	0	1	1	0.27%
12	0	1	0	1	0.27%
13	1	0	0	1	0.27%
14	0	1	7	8	2.18%
15	4	7	4	15	4.09%
16	4	10	7	21	5.72%
17	4	5	2	11	3.00%
Total	13	24	21	58	15.80%
TRAFFIC AND NON-REPORTABLE OFFENSES	Jan	Feb	Mar		
16	0	0	2	2	0.54%
17	5	4	1	10	2.72%
Total	5	4	3	12	3.27%
Grand Total	102	134	131	367	100.00%

CEDAR RAPIDS POLICE DEPT ACTIVITY COMPARISON
JANUARY 1 THROUGH MARCH 31
2015 vs 2016

CRIME TYPE	2015	2016	% CHANGE
PART 1 VIOLENT	102	104	1.96%
ASSAULT ON OFFICER	22	12	-45.45%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULTS	35	42	20.00%
MURDER	2	2	0.00%
ROBBERY	23	25	8.70%
SEX ASST FORCIBLE FONDLING	10	10	0.00%
SEX ASST - RAPE	8	12	50.00%
SEX ASST- SODOMY	0	0	#DIV/0!
SEX ASST- STAT RAPE	2	1	-50.00%
FIREARMS DISCHARGED			
	14	33	135.71%
PART 1 PROPERTY			
	944	1,065	12.82%
ARSON	3	7	133.33%
AUTO THEFT	73	95	30.14%
BURGLARY	164	231	40.85%
BURGLARY - ATTEMPTED	8	11	37.50%
THEFT – ACCOUNT CLOSED	5	4	-20.00%
THEFT- AGGRAVATED	4	7	75.00%
THEFT – EMBEZZLEMENT	0	0	#DIV/0!
THEFT – INSUFFICIENT FUNDS	5	8	60.00%
THEFT – NO ACCOUNT	2	0	-100.00%
THEFT – ALL OTHER	357	355	-0.56%
THEFT OF IDENTITY	48	51	6.25%
THEFT - SHOPLIFTING	275	296	7.64%
OTHER PROPERTY			
	2015	2016	%CHANGE
CRIMINAL MISCHIEF	188	245	30.32%
THEFT AUTO PARTS/ACCESS'S	7	4	-42.86%
THEFT FROM VEHICLE	130	98	-24.62%
THEFT OR LOST LIC PLATE	25	26	4.00%
ALCOHOL			
	2015	2016	%CHANGE
INTOXICATION	242	207	-14.46%
OWI	178	146	-17.98%
PULA - ALCOHOL	15	17	13.33%

NARCOTICS	2015	2016	%CHANGE
AIDING & ABETTING TO MAN.	1	1	0.00%
DELIVERY CONT SUBSTANCE	3	2	-33.33%
DRUG TAX STAMP LAW	11	13	18.18%
METH LABS	6	2	-66.67%
POSSESSION CONT SUBSTANCE	234	211	-9.83%
POSS DRUG PARAPHERNALIA	162	166	2.47%
PROHIBITED ACTS	1	5	400.00%
SEARCH WARRANTS	14	23	64.29%
CLEARANCES	2015	2016	% CHANGE
ARRESTS	1,969	1,918	-2.59%
CLOSED BY VICTIM	107	106	-0.93%
LACKS SOLVABILITY CRITERIA	325	267	-17.85%
LEADS EXHAUSTED	646	775	19.97%
OUT OF JURISDICTION	76	128	68.42%
PROSECUTION REFUSED	47	81	72.34%
UNFOUNDED	50	41	-18.00%
VIC REF TO COOPERATE	39	34	-12.82%
WARRANTS REQUEST/ISSUED	125	160	28.00%
CALLS FOR SERVICE	2015	2016	% CHANGE
ALL CALLS (EXCLUDING TEST CALLS)	23,189	23,062	-0.55%
TOTAL SELF INITIATED CALLS	15,266	14,747	-3.40%
TOTAL NON SELF INITIATED CALLS	7,923	8,315	4.95%
SELF INITIATED CALLS	2015	2016	% CHANGE
ASSIGNMENTS	618	664	7.44%
BAR CHECKS	418	352	-15.79%
BUSINESS CHECKS	3,706	3,148	-15.06%
COPS PROJECTS	4,907	5,507	12.23%
FOOT PATROLS	93	84	-9.68%
“INVESTIGATIONS”	1,803	1,750	-2.94%
MOTEL CHECKS	151	88	-41.72%
SCHOOL CHECKS	1,009	961	-4.76%
SELECTIVE ENFORCEMENT	1,585	1,224	-22.78%
SUBJECT STOPS	427	393	-7.96%
WARRANT CHECKS (S13)	549	576	4.92%

TOTAL CASE #'S ISSUED	2,718	2,935	7.98%
CASE LOAD			
ASSIGNED TO CID	418	430	2.87%
ASSIGNED TO PATROL	3,021	3,178	5.20%
FIELD CONTACT CARDS			
FIELD CONTACT CARDS	57	84	47.37%
TRAFFIC			
CIVIL TOWS	505	487	-3.56%
DWLUS/REVOKED ARRESTS	258	253	-1.94%
FATALITY ACCIDENTS	0	1	#DIV/0!
HIT & RUN ACCIDENTS	206	195	-5.34%
NON-INVESTIGATED ACCIDENTS	983	813	-17.29%
OWI ARRESTS	178	145	-18.54%
PERSONAL INJURY ACCIDENTS	94	68	-27.66%
PROP DAMAGE ACCIDENTS	313	318	1.60%
TRAFFIC STOPS	3,260	3,087	-5.31%
TRAFFIC TICKETS	1,946	1,981	1.80%

CITIZEN ASSIST SUMMARY FEBRUARY 26 2016 TO MARCH 30 2016

2/26/16, officers were sent to a SW side apartment complex ref a call from a citizen of a loud party occurring. Upon arrival, officers easily located the offending apartment due to the loud noise and the large number of attendees. Further investigation resulted in arrests for Possession of Alcohol Under Legal Age and 2 counts of Public Intoxication.

2/26/16, officers were sent to a SW residential area as a citizen had called dispatch advising they were following another vehicle and it was very obvious the male driver of this vehicle was intoxicated. The caller stayed on the phone with dispatch until officers caught up to both subjects in the parking lot of a SW side apartment complex. Caller/witness advised he had followed this individual from the downtown area and also told officers that he had witnessed this subject urinating in the parking lot of the apartment before officers arrived. When officers located the suspect, he was again urinating in public. Subject was charged with Operating While Intoxicated & Public Urination.

2/27/16, officers were sent to a downtown restaurant ref an individual causing a disturbance. Callers advised dispatch of a physical and clothing description of the suspect which allowed officers to easily locate him in the parking lot as he was attempting to enter a vehicle. Further investigation resulted in the subject being arrested for Public Intoxication.

2/29/16, officers were sent to a SW side apartment complex ref a call from citizens who had witnessed a pickup truck strike several parked vehicles and the driver was trying to leave the parking lot. As officers were headed toward the scene, other witnesses called dispatch and advised the location of the driver and the direction he was headed. A witness also provided the license plate number of the pickup truck. Further investigation resulted in the truck driver being arrested for Driving While Suspended, Hit & Run, and Failure to Have Insurance.

3/1/16, officers were sent to the SW portion of I-380 at the Wilson Ave off-ramp due to a call from a citizen who had witnessed a vehicle swerving on I-380, had seen the vehicle attempt to take the Wilson off-ramp, and the vehicle had come to a stop, blocking the ramp. Responding officers located the vehicle in question and further investigation resulted in the driver being arrested for Drunk Driving.

3/6/16, officers were sent to a SW residential area ref a call from a citizen reporting an unknown male was laying down in their front yard and appeared to be urinating. Officers did locate the individual in question, with his pants up, and further investigation resulted in arrest for Public Intoxication.

3/8/16, officers were involved in a foot pursuit in the central district when the juvenile being pursued fired a handgun. Officers were assisted by several citizens who were in their yards or driving through the area, all of whom pointed the officers in the right

direction as this juvenile continued to run through yards. Officers did apprehend the suspect who was arrested for Interference with Official Acts & Reckless Use of Firearm.

3/9/16, officers were sent to a NE side apartment building ref call from management advising that tenants had complained of drug use in the building. K9 officer was sent and did check the building, positively indicating on a particular apartment. Further investigation resulted in arrest of 2 tenants for Possession of Drug Paraphernalia and Disorderly House.

3/9/16, officers were sent to a NW side apartment building ref a call from a tenant of an odor of marijuana. K9 officer was sent and checked the building, positively indicating on a particular apartment. Further investigation resulted in arrest for Disorderly House.

3/10/16, officers were sent to a central district school ref numerous calls into dispatch from citizens reporting a large group of female teens fighting outside of the school and several others standing around videotaping it on their cell phones. Responding officers located the altercation and further investigation resulted in arrest for Assault.

3/11/16, while working an extra job at a NW side grocery store alcohol section, the officer was approached by a shopper who advised they thought a domestic disturbance was occurring in the parking lot. The citizen walked to the lot with the officer and pointed out a vehicle where a male subject was sitting in the drivers seat and appeared to be vomiting. Further investigation by the officer resulted in this individual being arrested for Drunk Driving.

3/11/16, officers were sent to a NE side convenience store ref a call into dispatch of a vehicle in the parking lot and the driver appeared to be unconscious. Caller provided a description of the vehicle and stated the engine was running. Upon arrival, officers located the vehicle in question and further investigation resulted in the driver being arrested for Drunk Driving.

3/12/16, officers were sent to a NE side business district ref call from a citizen who advised they believed they were observing a drug deal occurring in a parking lot. Caller provided physical and clothing descriptions on the individuals involved. Officers met with the citizen who had called in and while speaking with officers, the citizen pointed out one of the suspects who had returned to the area. Further investigation resulted in this individual being arrested for Possession of Drug Paraphernalia and Public Intoxication.

3/12/16, officers were sent to a NW side elementary school ref call from a citizen reporting that 2 juvenile males had just jumped a construction fence and were trespassing on school property. Caller provided a physical and clothing description of the suspects, allowing officers to locate them on school property. Subjects were arrested for Trespassing, Interference with Official Acts, and Possession of Drug Paraphernalia.

3/14/16, officers were sent to a downtown area late afternoon ref calls into dispatch of 2 subjects passed out on a park bench. Officers located the individuals in question and arrested them for Public Intoxication.

3/18/16, officers were sent to a bar in the NewBo area ref a call into dispatch of 10-12 bar patrons fighting both inside and outside of the bar. Upon arrival, officers did note a large fight and saw some of the bar employees attempting to hold a couple of people down. Further investigation resulted in arrests for Disorderly Conduct and Public Intoxication.

3/22/16, officers were sent to a SW side residence ref a call from neighbors of a susp vehicle in the driveway. Dispatch had also received a call from the son of the home owner who stated a neighbor had called while he was at work and the vehicle in his driveway should not be there. The home owner met officers and provided information on the possible identity of the perpetrators. Further investigation resulted in the arrest of 2 individuals for Burglary.

3/23/16, officers were sent to a SW residential area ref calls from citizens of 4 subjects prowling vehicles and breaking into vehicles. Callers provided descriptions. Further investigation resulted in the arrest of 2 individuals for Theft from Vehicle.

3/23/16, officers were sent to a SW side apartment building ref a call from citizen complaining of an odor of marijuana. K9 unit checked the building and did indicate on an apartment door. Further investigation resulted in the tenant being charged with Disorderly House.


3/30/16, officers were sent to a downtown hotel ref a call into dispatch of a male subject trespassing and sleeping in a public area of the facility. Based upon physical description officers located the individual who had been given a previous warning. He was arrested for Trespassing.

CEDAR RAPIDS POLICE DEPARTMENT


Juvenile Arrests at Schools

Arrested 3/1/2016 - 3/31/2016

by School Type & Month/Year



by School Type




CEDAR RAPIDS POLICE DEPARTMENT

Juvenile Arrests at Schools

Arrested 3/1/2016 - 3/31/2016

by School



CEDAR RAPIDS POLICE DEPARTMENT

JUVENILE ARRESTS by RACE, SEX, AGE and TIME RANGE

Arrested 1/1/2016 - 3/31/2016

These tables contain counts of **individual arrests**; not counts of total charges.

RACE	Jan-2016	Feb-2016	Mar-2016	Total	Percent
AMER INDIAN	0	0	1	1	0.37%
BLACK	32	47	48	127	47.21%
WHITE	38	55	48	141	52.42%
Total Arrests	70	102	97	269	100.00%

SEX	Jan	Feb	Mar	Total	Percent
FEMALE	21	29	31	81	30.11%
MALE	49	73	66	188	69.89%
Total Arrests	70	102	97	269	100.00%

ARREST TIME	Jan	Feb	Mar	Total	Percent
0000-0759	9	10	6	25	9.29%
0800-1159	29	34	20	83	30.86%
1200-1429	7	24	22	53	19.70%
1430-2059	16	24	40	80	29.74%
2100-2359	9	10	9	28	10.41%
Total Arrests	70	102	97	269	100.00%

AGE	Jan	Feb	Mar	Total	Percent
07	0	1	0	1	0.37%
11	1	1	5	7	2.60%
12	6	5	6	17	6.32%
13	4	4	1	9	3.35%
14	9	9	14	32	11.90%
15	13	30	20	63	23.42%
16	19	24	24	67	24.91%
17	18	28	27	73	27.14%
Total Arrests	70	102	97	269	100.00%

AGE	AMER INDIAN MALE	BLACK FEMALE	BLACK MALE	WHITE FEMALE	WHITE MALE	Total	Percent
07	0	0	0	0	1	1	0.37%
11	0	0	5	1	1	7	2.60%
12	0	4	4	3	6	17	6.32%
13	0	1	4	0	4	9	3.35%
14	0	3	13	5	11	32	11.90%
15	0	12	25	10	16	63	23.42%
16	0	7	23	9	28	67	24.91%
17	1	11	15	15	31	73	27.14%
Total	1	38	89	43	98	269	100.00%
Perc	0.37%	14.13%	33.09%	15.99%	36.43%	100.00%	

CEDAR RAPIDS POLICE DEPARTMENT

JUVENILE ARRESTS by RACE, SEX, AGE and TIME RANGE

Arrested 1/1/2016 - 3/31/2016

These tables contain counts of **individual arrests**; not counts of total charges.

NEIGHBORHOOD ACTIVITY SUMMARY
JANUARY - MARCH (2015 vs. 2016)

CRIMES	CEDAR HILLS		CEDAR VALLEY		CANA		HARBOR		KENWOOD		LINCOLN WAY		MOUND VIEW		NOELRIDGE		NW AREA		OAKHILL/JACKSON		SWAN		TAYLOR		UPTOWN		VERNON HEIGHTS		WELL HTS		ENTIRE CITY	
	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016		
ARSON													1	2		1															2	3
ASSAULT	2	6			3	6				3	11	9	18	10	1	1	10	13	6	1	6	2	4	2	5	7	2	4	7	8	75	72
BURGLAR	11	15			2	7			2	1	4	6	10	10		4	5	8	4	4	6	18	6	10	2	7	3		17	13	72	103
CARRY CON WEAPON		1			2									1																2	2	
CRIM MIS	4	10			4	6		1		1	3	7	18	13			10	15		3	5	8	4	5		3	1	1	8	10	57	83
DEL CON SUBST																			1		2		1							4	0	
DISORD CONDUCT					9	9							15	7			3	4		1	2	1			1	2				30	24	
DOM ABUS	6	7				3				2	3	2	15	6	1	1	8	2			8	2	6	11		1		2	10	7	57	46
DWLUS	5	5				3			3	1			10	11			6	1	3	4	1	2	8	8	2	3	1		5	39	43	
FORGERY									1								3		1		1								1	6	1	
HARASS	1	4									2		2	1							1	1	1					1		7	7	
HOMICIDE																						1								0	1	
IND EXP		1																												0	1	
INTERFER		1	1		1	2							2	1					1	1		2					1			6	7	
INTOX	3	3			1	3				2	1	3	11	21		1	9	11	5	2	5	4	8	3	9	15	1	1	4	3	57	72
MISC SEX CRIMES	4	2				4					2			3			1	1		2	1		3					1		3	7	20
OPERATE WHILE INTOX	8	2	2	1	1	6	1		3	1	2	1	6	4	2	1	8	12	4	2	8	6	7	5	5			2	2	3	59	46
POSS CON SUBST.	3	5			3	5			3	3	10	2	8	14	3	3	8	7	7	2	11	8	10	1	7	6	2		14	8	89	64
POSS DRUG PARAPH	1	2	1			1		1			2	2		3	2	1	1		2			2	1		1	2			3	2	14	16
POSS UND LEGAL AGE													1									1			1						2	1
ROBBERY		1									1	1	1	4			1					1				1			7	6	10	14
THEFT	9	17	2		10	2			4	7	3	7	15	14	3	4	22	13	9	4	12	5	12	7	9	7	2	1	19	6	131	94
TH(SHOP)					20	10															1		3		10	6					34	16
THEFT OF AUTO	1	3				2					1	1	4	3			2	5	2		2	2	4	4	1	2	1		4		22	22
THEFT FR AUTO	7	9			4	4			4	8	4		2	3	2	8	4	4	2	2	2	2	7	5	2	1	4		6	1	50	47
VIOLATE NO CONTACT ORDER	2	1			1	1				2			2	1			1			1	1	3	3	3	3		1				14	12
WARR'S	7	10			6	1			3	3	2	5	10	15	7	1	9	12	15	1	17	19	14	9	4	6	2	1	20	17	116	100
ADT ARR	41	43	6	2	24	24	1	1	6	4	41	36	47	66	23	16	37	40	52	24	53	49	63	47	47	43	8	8	53	69	502	472
JUV ARR	5	3	0	0	9	9	0	0	0	1	10	3	38	7	3	0	7	4	2	2	3	4	5	6	4	4	1	2	5	3	92	48
CALLS	838	1178	89	116	79	75	99	77	337	320	453	491	564	718	512	463	1311	1309	854	801	736	810	700	721	610	730	453	433	1642	89	9277	8331



PUBLIC SAFETY UPDATE

April 11, 2016

NUISANCE PROPERTY UPDATE

Since October 1, 2013 there have been **2,660** Founded Calls for Service of Chapter 22A which have affected **1,889** properties. Of the 22A Founded Calls for Service, **371** have met the threshold of 22A and have been deemed Nuisance Properties since the program started. Since October 1, 2014, **244** Nuisance Properties have been brought back into compliance. Of the **2,267** Tier C Founded Calls for Service, only **96** have resulted in a Nuisance Property Designation. SAFE-CR has billed **\$41,516.70** for Police Calls for Service and has collected **\$7,719.66**.

Based upon the following charts and graphs, property owners are abating the nuisance after they receive a Call for Service letter. Only **4.2%** of Tier C violations have risen to a Nuisance Property Designation and only **13.2%** of Nuisance Properties have had additional 22A violations billed.

Nuisance Properties by the Numbers

Total Nuisance Properties since 10/01/2013: 371

Active Nuisance Properties: 127

Commercial: **1**

Owner Occupied: **75**

Rental Properties: **51**

Total In Compliance Properties: 244

Nuisance Property Abatement Plans: 5

Nuisance Properties in Grace Period: 54

Nuisance Properties in Fee Period: 73

Charges Sent for Police Calls for Service: 106

Number of Properties Billed: **49**

Total Billed: **\$41,516.70**

Number of Administrative Appeals: 44

Designations Reversed: **9**

Designations Upheld: **35**

Waiting on Decision: **0**

Appeals Scheduled: **0**

CITY DEPARTMENTS WORKING TOGETHER

SAFE-CR and Section 8 Housing have limited opportunities to collaborate and work together. In many cases, Section 8 is unable to share information with SAFE-CR because Section 8 has a responsibility to protect the privacy of those individuals they provide services to. SAFE-CR includes Section 8 Housing in all weekly meetings and advises Section 8 of the upcoming agenda. If there is an issue or a need for additional information concerning a property, Section 8 contacts SAFE-CR and SAFE-CR provides the information requested.



Most recently, Section 8 Housing has been included in the SAFE-CR Advisory Team Mental Health Subcommittee which meets monthly. The group includes representatives of social service agencies, landlords, physicians, and SAFE-CR staff. The committee is currently reviewing Founded Calls for Service to ensure people with mental illness are not negatively impacted by Chapter 22A.

In the future, SAFE-CR will continue to maintain open lines of communication with Section 8 Housing. In addition, SAFE-CR will provide any information possible to assist Section 8 achieve their goal of accommodating low-income families and individuals with rent assistance for decent, safe, and sanitary housing.

Interesting Facts -

- *323 felonies committed by prospective tenants were reported on background checks completed by SAFE-CR.*
- *4.7% of property owners have taken the necessary steps to submit a Nuisance Abatement Plan to postpone by 15 days the possible imposition of charges otherwise due under 22A.*
- *There are 74 Nuisance Properties currently in the fee period.*
- *45 administrative appeals have been documented with 78% having been upheld.*


NUISANCE PROPERTY STATISTICS



Top: Shows Nuisance Properties by Month 3-18-15 to 3-18-16
Bottom: Shows Total Nuisance Properties by Quadrant 3-18-15 to 3-18-16

NUISANCE PROPERTIES STATISTICS (CONTINUED)



The pie charts below show Nuisance Activity categorized by each tier of 22A.03 separated by month from 3-18-2015 to 3-18-2016.



NUISANCE PROPERTY STATISTICS (CONTINUED)







NUISANCE ACTIVITY

Included with this newsletter is a report titled “Founded Nuisance Activity” which indicates all Nuisance Activity that has occurred between March 18, 2015 and March 18, 2016. Both the property owner and property occupant are notified via mail of Founded Nuisance Activity. Each property owner listed on the Founded Nuisance Activity report has been sent a Call for Service letter to the contact address listed on the City Assessor’s website indicating there has been Nuisance Activity on their property. In addition, a copy is mailed to the property occupant. The graphs below present the Nuisance Activity that has occurred by month and by quadrant since March 18, 2015.






NUISANCE PROPERTY STATISTICS (CONTINUED)

The pie charts below show Nuisance Activity and Nuisance Designation by Tier from 10-01-2013 to 03-18-2016. The breakdown between owner occupied and rental properties is shown as well.






Nuisance Activity Statistics for NE Quadrant



Top: Shows Nuisance Activity in NE Quadrant by Type of Activity 3-18-15 to 3-18-16
Bottom Left: Shows Total Nuisance Activity in NE Quadrant by Tier 3-18-15 to 3-18-16
Bottom Right: Shows Total Nuisance Activity in NE Quadrant by Month 3-18-15 to 3-18-16

Nuisance Property Statistics for NE Quadrant






Top: Shows Nuisance Properties in NE Quadrant by Type of Activity 3-18-15 to 3-18-16

Bottom Left: Shows Nuisance Properties in NE Quadrant by Tier 3-18-15 to 3-18-16




Bottom Right: Shows Nuisance Properties in NE Quadrant by Month 3-18-15 to 3-18-16

Nuisance Property Statistics for NW Quadrant



Top: Shows Nuisance Activity in NW Quadrant by Type of Activity 3-18-15 to 3-18-16
Bottom Left: Shows Total Nuisance Activity in NW Quadrant by Tier 3-18-15 to 3-18-16
Bottom Right: Shows Total Nuisance Activity in NW Quadrant by Month 3-18-15 to 3-18-16

Nuisance Property Statistics for NW Quadrant






Top: Nuisance Property broken down by violation occurring in the Northwest Quadrant 3-18-15 to 3-18-16

Bottom Left: Total Nuisance Property in the Northwest Quadrant 3-18-15 to 3-18-16

Bottom Right: Number of Nuisance Property per month in the Northwest Quadrant 3-18-15 to 3-18-16

Nuisance Activity Statistics for SE Quadrant






Top: Nuisance Activity broken down by activity type occurring in the Southeast Quadrant 3-18-15 to 3-18-16

Bottom Left: Total Nuisance Activity in the Southeast Quadrant 3-18-15 to 3-18-16

Bottom Right: Number of Nuisance Activity per month in the Southeast Quadrant 3-18-15 to 3-18-16

Nuisance Property Statistics for SE Quadrant






Top: Nuisance Property broken down by violation occurring in the Southeast Quadrant 3-18-15 to 3-18-16

Bottom Left: Total Nuisance Property in the Southeast Quadrant 3-18-15 to 3-18-16

Bottom Right: Number of Nuisance Property per month in the Southeast Quadrant 3-18-15 to 3-18-16

Nuisance Activity Statistics for SW Quadrant






Top: Nuisance Activity broken down by activity type occurring in the Southwest Quadrant 3-18-16 to 3-18-16

Bottom Left: Total Nuisance Activity in the Southwest Quadrant 3-18-15 to 3-18-16

Bottom Right: Number of Nuisance Activity per month in the Southwest Quadrant 3-18-15 to 3-18-16

Nuisance Property Statistics for SW Quadrant




Top: Nuisance Property broken down by violation occurring in the Southwest Quadrant 3-18-15 to 3-18-16

Bottom Left: Total Nuisance Property in the Southwest Quadrant 3-18-15 to 3-18-16


Bottom Right: Number of Nuisance Property per month in the Southwest Quadrant 3-18-15 to 3-18-16

Total Nuisance Properties In Compliance

After a property is deemed a Nuisance Property, it will carry the Nuisance Property designation for one year from the date of the last Notice of Violation. The graphs below compare Nuisance Properties that are either Active or In Compliance in one year intervals. Attached is a report titled “Nuisance Properties in Compliance” including addresses of properties now In Compliance with Chapter 22A.




In January 2014, 100% of Nuisance Properties were In Compliance.
In January 2015, 91% were In Compliance.




In February 2014, 75% of Nuisance Properties were In Compliance.
In February 2015, 88% of Nuisance Properties were In Compliance.

Total Nuisance Properties In Compliance (CONTINUED)




In March 2014, 100% of Nuisance Properties were In Compliance.
In March 2015, 45% of Nuisance Properties were In Compliance.




In April 2014, 88% of Nuisance Properties were In Compliance.

Total Nuisance Properties In Compliance (CONTINUED)




In May 2014, 83% of Nuisance Properties were In Compliance.




In June 2014, 93% of Nuisance Properties were In Compliance.

Total Nuisance Properties In Compliance (CONTINUED)




In July 2014, 92% of Nuisance Properties were In Compliance.




In August 2014, 81% of Nuisance Properties were In Compliance.

Total Nuisance Properties In Compliance (CONTINUED)




In September 2014, 100% of Nuisance Properties were In Compliance.




In October 2013, 100% of Nuisance Properties were In Compliance.

In October 2014, 100% of Nuisance Properties were In Compliance.

Total Nuisance Properties In Compliance (CONTINUED)



In November 2013, 81% of Nuisance Properties were In Compliance.
In November 2014, 79% of Nuisance Properties were In Compliance.



In December 2013, 100% of Nuisance Properties were In Compliance.
In December 2014, 100% of Nuisance Properties were In Compliance.

Total Nuisance Property by Council District

This table presents the total number of Nuisance Properties in each Council District since October 1, 2013.

District	22A.03.a	22A.03.b	22A.03.c	22A.03.d	Total
CR1	33	9	18	19	79
CR2	15	2	9	13	39
CR3	52	6	38	60	156
CR4	12	0	17	12	41
CR5	25	9	14	8	56
Total	137	26	96	112	371

Total Properties In Compliance by Council District

The table below presents all the Nuisance Properties that now hold the status In Compliance by Council District.

District	22A.03.a	22A.03.b	22A.03.c	22A.03.d	Total
CR1	22	7	14	13	56
CR2	11	2	4	8	25
CR3	44	5	23	29	101
CR4	11	0	9	3	23
CR5	20	8	7	4	39
Totals	108	22	57	57	244

Neighborhood Summary

The table below presents all the Nuisance Properties in each neighborhood since the program started in October 2013.

Quadrant	Neighborhood	Section				Neighborhood Total
		22A.03.a	22A.03.b	22A.03.c	22A.03.d	
NE	Misc. NE	25	3	9	9	46
	Kenwood Park	3	0	1	4	8
	Mound View	12	7	8	13	40
	Noelridge Park	1	1	2	1	5
NW	Misc. NW	3	0	8	2	13
	Cedar Hills	1	0	0	2	3
	Cleveland Area	0	0	2	0	2
	Northwest Area	10	1	9	10	30
	Upper NW	4	0	5	4	13
SE	Misc. SE	13	0	9	9	31
	Cedar Valley	0	0	0	2	2
	Oakhill Jackson	7	1	5	11	24
	Wellington Heights	32	3	27	34	96
SW	Misc. SW	9	5	6	6	26
	Cedar Hills	3	0	1	0	4
	Cleveland Area	0	0	1	0	1
	Lincolnway Village	7	3	1	0	11

Southwest Area	1	0	2	2	5
Taylor	6	2	0	3	11
Total Nuisance Properties	137	26	96	112	371

Neighborhood Summary In Compliance

Quadrant	Neighborhood	Section				Neighborhood Total
		22A.03.a	22A.03.b	22A.03.c	22A.03.d	
NE	Misc. NE	17	3	7	6	33
	Kenwood Park	2	0	1	2	5
	Mound View	9	6	7	10	32
	Noelridge Park	1	0	2	1	4
NW	Misc. NW	2	0	6	1	9
	Northwest Area	8	1	4	5	18
	Upper NW	4	0	1	0	5
SE	Misc. SE	10	0	2	5	17
	Cedar Valley	0	0	0	1	1
	Oakhill Jackson	5	0	3	5	13
	Wellington Heights	28	3	17	14	62
SW	Misc. SW	8	4	4	3	19
	Cedar Hills	3	0	0	0	3
	Cleveland Area	0	0	1	0	1
	Lincolnway Village	7	3	0	0	10
	Southwest Area	1	0	2	1	4
	Taylor	3	2	0	3	8
Total Nuisance Properties		108	22	57	57	244

BACKGROUND CHECKS

The number of background checks requested through RentPrep has remained fairly consistent over the past several months. In March, 92 background checks were conducted. These checks were ordered by a total of 31 registered landlords with the City of Cedar Rapids. Overall, there have been 3,538 background checks ordered by 393 landlords. The background checks consist of a 50-state criminal history search, active Iowa warrants, Cedar Rapids arrests, as well as checks of the National Sex Offender Registry and the National Terrorist List.

Landlords also have the option to order additional screenings including Social Security Verification, Eviction Histories, and Lien/Bankruptcy Histories. 42 of the 92 (45.65%) background checks in March 2016 included these additional screens and 970 of the 3,538 (27.42%) total background checks included them.

35 of the 92 (38.04%) individuals screened in March 2016 yielded at least one reportable hit on their criminal history. Overall, 1,530 of the 3,538 (43.24%) background checks have at least one reportable offense in their criminal history. In accordance with the Fair Credit Reporting Act, a misdemeanor crime is reportable for 7 years and a felony is reportable for the lifetime of the individual.

Overall Statistics

- 50.79% of the prospective tenants currently living in Cedar Rapids yielded a reportable criminal history (1,026 of 2,020)
- 48.32% of the prospective tenants currently living in Linn County yielded a reportable criminal history (1,166 of 2,413)
- 46.06% of the prospective tenants currently living in Iowa yielded a reportable criminal history (1,339 of 2,907)
- 30.27% of the prospective tenants currently living outside of Iowa yielded a reportable criminal history (191 of 631)

The top 5 misdemeanor and felony violations found are shown below. The offenses are broken down by state of arrest, as many states have different qualifications for offenses (i.e. what may be a misdemeanor in one state is a felony in another). All offenses shown below are defined by the Iowa State Code unless otherwise noted.

Most Common Offenses Found on Background Checks	
Misdemeanors	Felonies
1. Public Intoxication (511)	1. OWI 3 rd and Subsequent (73)
2. Theft 5 th Degree (271)	2. Forgery (72)
3. Possession of a Controlled Sub. (255)	3. Theft 2 nd Degree (71)
4. Driving While Barred (244)	4. Burglary 3 rd Degree (50)
5. Operating without a Valid License (240)	5. Controlled Substance Violation (48)

